

Authors

Michael Kremer The University of Chicago

Edward Miguel University of California, Berkeley Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA)

Econometrica, Vol. 72, No. 1 (January, 2004), 159-217

WORMS: IDENTIFY ING IMPACTS ON EDUCATION AND HEALTH

By EDWARD MIGUEL AND MICHAEL KREMER!

inal helminths-including hoolwarm, roundworm, whipworm, and sch Interest and the finish fin-including brookworm, roundworm, whipworm, and schistimo-minini-include more than one quarter of the world's population. Studies in which mo-letal treatment is randomized at the individual level potentially doubly underestimate the benefits of the contratest, missing extensually benefits to the comparison group from or-duced disease transmission, and therefore also underestimating benefits for the treat-ment group. We evaluate a Kengrup nepited in which when the med mean to extense in some group. We evaluate a Kengrup nepited in which when the effect mean teatment are good of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract good of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract good of the contract in terms of the contract good of the contract of

KEYWORDS: He alth, education, Africa, externalities, randomized evolu-

I. INTRODUCTION

I. INTHODUCTION
HOGKWORM, ROUNDWORM, WHEPWORM, and schistosomiasis infect one in flour people worldwide. They are particularly prevalent among school-age children in developing countries. We examine the impact of a program in which seventy-five rural Kenyan primary schools were phased into deworming treatment in a randomized order. We find that the program reduced school absenteeism by at least one-quarter, with particularly large participation gains among the youngest children, making deworming a highly effective way to boost school participation among young children. We then identify cross-school externalities—the impact of deworming for pupils in schools located near treatment school—using exoge nous variation in the local density of treatment school-pupils generated by the school-level randomization, and find that deworming reduces worm burdens and increases school participation among

The methors thank ICS Africa, the Ke nya Ministry of Hoalsh Division of Vector Borne Dio-curses, Donald Bussly, and Paul Glewer for their cooperation in all stages of the project, and would especially like to advantable girth orans benision of Elizabeth Bussley, Islana Bussup, Bro-caline Dayan, Simon Brooker, Albed Laods, Sphie Monlin, Robert Namusyn, Polycany Mones, and the PSDP field staff and data group, without whom the project would not have been possible. Genitude in also extended to the unchers and school chiklers of Busin for participating in the study. Googra Actachel, Barrad Advantana, Timosthy Beadey, Petro Heter, Carciline Hodge, Lanconae Katz, Dong Miller, Chris Udy, and the editor and four anonymous releves have provided valuable comments. Mellion Genzalen Brones, Andrew Francis, Bayan Graham, Tios Green, Joneica Leine, Emily Oster, Angli Om, and Jon Bebrisson have provided excellents. The evolutions was approximally the Wireld Bank and the Partnership for Child Development, but all viewpoints, no well an any errors, one our own.

Worms: Identifying Impacts on Health and Education in the Presence of Treatment Externalities

Intestinal helminths—including hookworm, roundworm, whipworm, and schistosomiasis—infect more than one-quarter of the world's population. Studies in which medical treatment is randomized at the individual level potentially doubly underestimate the benefits of treatment, missing externality benefits to the comparison group from reduced disease transmission, and therefore also underestimating benefits for the treatment group. We evaluate a Kenyan project in which school-based mass treatment with deworming drugs



was randomly phased into schools, rather than to individuals, allowing estimation of overall program effects. The program reduced school absenteeism in treatment schools by one-quarter, and was far cheaper than alternative ways of boosting school participation. Deworming substantially improved health and school participation among untreated children in both treatment schools and neighboring schools, and these externalities are large enough to justify fully subsidizing treatment. Yet we do not find evidence that deworming improved academic test scores.

January 01, 2004