

Authors

Adnan Khan
London School of Economics and Political Science

Asim Khwaja
Harvard University

Ben Olken
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

**Tax Farming Redux:
Experimental Evidence on Performance Pay for Tax Collectors**

Adnan Q. Khan, Asim I. Khwaja, and Benjamin A. Olken*

August 2015

Abstract

Performance pay for tax collection has the potential to raise revenues, but might come at a cost if it increases the bargaining power of tax collectors vis-a-vis taxpayers. We report the first large-scale field experiment on these issues, where we experimentally allocated 482 property tax units in Punjab, Pakistan into one of three performance-pay schemes or a control. After two years, incentivized units had 9.4 log points higher revenue than controls, which translates to a 40 percent higher growth rate. The scheme that rewarded purely on revenue did best, increasing revenue by 12.9 log points (64 percent higher growth rate), with little penalty for customer satisfaction and assessment accuracy compared to the two other schemes that explicitly also rewarded these dimensions. The revenue gains accrue from a small number of properties becoming taxed at their true value, which is substantially more than they had been taxed at previously. The majority of properties in incentivized areas in fact pay no more taxes, but instead report higher bribes. The results are consistent with a collusive setting in which performance pay increases collectors' bargaining power over taxpayers, who either have to pay higher bribes to avoid being assessed, or pay substantially higher taxes if collusion breaks down.

*This project is the result of collaboration among many people. We thank the editor, Lawrence Hsieh, the second editor, Andrei Shleifer, and four anonymous referees for helpful comments. We thank Jon Hox, Douglas Jin, Alyson Loeffer, Kamal Moudal, Wayne Sandholtz, Michael Shadok, Gabriel Tenech, He Yang, and Gabriel Zanker for outstanding research assistance in Cambridge and Zohir Ak, Osman Haq, Tarek Hassan, Zohar Mousawi, Othman Bakman, Shakerah Haja, Akel Shadok, and Subhrajit Shah for outstanding research assistance in Lahore. We thank all the Secretaries, District Generals, Districters, the two Project Directors from the Punjab Department of Excise and Taxation, the Punjab Finance, Planning and Development departments and the Chief Secretary and Chief Minister's offices for their support over the many years of this project. Financial support for the evaluation came from the IGC, the NSF (under grant SES-112034), and financial support for the incentive payments described here came from the Government of the Punjab, Pakistan. The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the many individuals or organizations acknowledged here.

Tax Farming Redux: Experimental Evidence on Performance Pay for Tax Collectors

Performance pay for tax collectors has the potential to raise revenues, but might come at a cost if it increases the bargaining power of tax collectors vis-a-vis taxpayers. We report the first large-scale field experiment on these issues, where we experimentally allocated 482 property tax units in Punjab, Pakistan into one of three performance-pay schemes or a control. After two years, incentivized units had 9.4 log points higher revenue than controls,

which translates to a 46 percent higher growth rate. The scheme that rewarded purely on revenue did best, increasing revenue by 12.9 log points (64 percent higher growth rate), with little penalty for customer satisfaction and assessment accuracy compared to the two other schemes that explicitly also rewarded these dimensions. The revenue gains accrue from a small number of properties becoming taxed at their true value, which is substantially more than they had been taxed at previously. The majority of properties in incentivized areas in fact pay no more taxes, but instead report higher bribes. The results are consistent with a collusive setting in which performance pay increases collectors' bargaining power over taxpayers, who either have to pay higher bribes to avoid being reassessed, or pay substantially higher taxes if collusion breaks down.

August 01, 2015