

Authors

Anett John University of Birmingham

> When Commitment Fails – Evidence from a Field Experiment*

> > ANETT JOHN

October 2018

Commitment products can remody self-control problems. However, imporfact knowledge about their preferences may (discontinuously) is all individuals to select into incentive incompatible commitments, which soduce their welfant. I conduct a field experiment where low-income individuals were enadomly effored a new installment surings commitment account, fall viduals chose a personal incl surings plan and a default penalty themselves. A majority appears to choose a humiful contact: While the average effect on heak surings is large. So percont of clience default, and incort memorary losses. A possible explanation in that the chosen penalties were not low (the contaniument was too weak) to exercence clients' self-control problems. Measures of suphisficated hyperbolic disconting correlate negatively with take-up and default, and positively with promity choices—consistent with theoretical predictions that partial explicitates adopt weak to commitment and then default, while full outplicitions are more custions about committing, but better able to choose incontine compatible contacts.

Reywords: commitment, hyporbolic discounting, partial sophistication IEL classification: C90, D04, D14, O12

"I would like to extend my gratitude to Orison Bandiera, Mainzooh Ghanik, and Gharal Biryan, for their involvable support and arbive. I am deeply adulted to Date Kurlan. Am Meguga, Flish McColliner, Magua McGoire, Visori Sepkeirbayk, and Bra Gharine, which was been this project would not have been possible. I am gratiful to the collect both List, the associate editor, and frace anoxymeus reference for detailed comments. I further thank Nagach Ali, Douglas Bertaleira, Andrea Caridir, Reinia Count, Serfano DellaVigan, Jonathan de Quidk, Pard Hodbusco, Aku Bran, Suprest Kant, David Lathou, Marther Lovy, Latec Luchner, George Levenssieita, Johanius Spinnorija, Storine Tomasact, Erina Yhans, and various scrintur audiences for height feedback and discussions. I finally thank by Valley Bank of Cagoya de Ore, Philippines, for a productive collaboration I grantelly acknowledge for financial support of the Yalley Bank of Cagoya de Ore, Philippines, for a productive collaboration Lipstendry acknowledge for financial support of the Yalley Storings and Physicists. Receasth Fund at Innovations for Powerty Action, spontound by a grant from the Bill & Miditals Gane Foundation. This research Barber received generous aspept from the Broyal Economic Receasth Fund as and outsides are any own.

'CREST Paris, could: not Liphelf ense & The paper was provincely circulated under the same Anet Belmann.

When Commitment Fails - Evidence from a Field Experiment

Commitment products can remedy self-control problems. However, imperfect knowledge about their preferences may (discontinuously) lead individuals to select into incentive-incompatible commitments, which reduce their welfare. I conduct a field experiment where low-income individuals were randomly offered a new installment-savings commitment account. Individuals chose a personalized savings plan and a default penalty themselves. A majority appears to choose a harmful contract: While the average effect on bank savings is large, 55 percent of clients default, and incur monetary losses. A possible explanation is that the chosen penalties were too low (the commitment was too weak) to overcome clients' self-control problems. Measures of sophisticated hyperbolic discounting correlate negatively with take-up and default, and positively with penalty choices – consistent with theoretical predictions that partial sophisticates adopt weak commitments and then default, while full



sophisticates are more cautious about committing, but better able to choose incentive-compatible contracts.

October 01, 2018