

Opportunities for Complementary Research and Analysis

Francis Annan
UC Berkeley

January 12, 2023

Leveraging the Global Findex Microdata for Policy and Research

Roadmap

1 Introduction

2 Complementary [Micro] Research

3 Concluding Thoughts w Implications for [Macro] Research

Introduction

- No longer news to say digital finance (DF) constitute meaningful consumption weight in households budgets
 - ▶ Quite slow to update consumption baskets with DF in calculating CPI, inflation
 - ▶ Even in countries where consumption baskets reflect DF [EG. Ghana], they rely on posted prices (INCORRECTLY!) -- an issue I'll turn to later
- **FINDEX DATA:**
 - ▶ Nationally representative +
 - ▶ Unique job illustrating importance of DF +
 - ▶ Distribution [breath + depth] of DF penetration across ctrs, “massive progress globally” esp. in developing ctrs.

Where do we go from here wrt research?

- FINDEX DATA lays foundation for many RQs
- I'll discuss in light of own work + other areas ripe for research

Complementary [Micro] Research

I. Consumer Finance:

- [a] Substantial evidence shows DF users are **“poorly informed”**
 - ▶ 1/3rd consumers cannot do own transactions and rely on agents -- cross country work [TCI 2022: In TZ, UG, BD]
 - ▶ 55% of the time consumers do not know the official prices but agents fully know in rural Ghana [Annan 2022]
 - ▶ 40% of time consumers do not know who better quality [cheaper + reliable] agents are [TCI 2022; Annan 2022]
- [b] Key point: such market features could open up possibilities for
 - ▶ potential exploitation of consumers
 - ▶ consumer mistakes
 - ▶ underutilization of DFS, etc.

Complementary [Micro] Research

II. Retail Finance:

- [a] Agents – key building blocks to robust DF ecosystem – are “sparse” in rural areas [ReFinD 2021]
- [b] DF users tend to experience agent exploitation EG:
 - ▶ In rural Ghana: 20% transactions overcharged by attracting unexpected fees [Annan (2022) Experiment]
 - ▶ In TZ, UG, BD: over-charging evidence in ongoing cross-country exercise (span agent-assisted services) [TCI 2022]

Complementary [Micro] Research

Cont'd II. Retail Finance:

Annan (2022) Experiment -- Overview

- [a] Work conducted across 130 local markets in rural Ghana
- [b] Census retail MOMO agents and document impose illegal markup
 - ▶ 20% transactions overcharged
 - ▶ 100GHS: 1.0GHS (official) vs 1.8GHS (true) \Rightarrow +80% increase in fees
- [c] Market-level intervention: randomly inform users and mkt participants [official prices + report overpricing behavior]
 - ▶ -72% decrease in p (overpricing)
 - ▶ +54% increase in accuracy of consumer beliefs about overpricing
 - ▶ +40% increase in Q^D usage of DFS ($\epsilon=1.0$)
 - ▶ +40% increase in sales revenue of MOMO retailers
- [d] w several positive spillovers: \uparrow MOMO services accompanied by \uparrow in non-MOMO services; \uparrow higher overall profits; etc

Complementary [Micro] Research

III. Behavioral Finance:

Two Pieces of Motivating Evidence

- [a] Lack of switch by consumers to better quality agents
 - ▶ users do not know who better quality agents are to consider switching...
 - ▶ More work to understand switching and its effect on learning outcomes, demand for DFS, including prices + quality of services in EQBM
- [b] DF users misperceive the extent of overpricing
 - ▶ When asked, “users say 60% of time retailers overcharge, but in reality it’s 20% (Annan 2022)”
 - ▶ In principle, DFS demand is driven by (i) bias + (ii) price
 - ▶ More work to understand welfare costs of such bias in users beliefs

Complementary [Micro] Research

IV. Pricing (cross-cutting issue):

- MOMO/DF “convenient”, but users **price-sensitive** to DFS usage
 - ▶ Best illustrated by users ST negative responses to digi tax policy experiments. EG. in Ghana, TZ: -40% decrease in DFS usage

Transaction Cost Index (TCI) -- Overview

- We designed and implemented 3 measurement approaches
 - ▶ professional mystery shopping (MS) vs
 - ▶ local MS vs
 - ▶ consumer intercepts, with potential for scale
- Tracking prices of DFS: True prices > Official prices at retail outlets
- Tracking quality of DFS: Reliability + Prices (overcharging) + Transparency + Services
 - ▶ **Within-market** (and across-market) variation in prices and quality
 - ▶ Estimated savings to consumer from switching to high quality agents **9%** of total transaction value (meaningful?)

Concluding Thoughts w Implications for [Macro] Research

Macro research? a lot of scope

- We showed True prices of DFS \neq Official prices at agent outlets \Rightarrow **posted prices INCORRECTLY!** reflect prices consumers face
 - ▶ Incorporating such “hard-to-measure” micro prices into CPI calcs;
 - ▶ Mis-measurement of CPI + inflation if use official posted, lower prices?
- Macro work: implications of DFS for macro-relev questions EG prices
- How to develop scalable measurement methods for nationwide tracking of hidden prices to inform national estimates

- FINDEX DATA lays foundation for many RQs – Micro and Macro
- Common, relevant DF research themes:
 - ▶ information to users
 - ▶ exploitation (and consumer protection)
 - ▶ retail agent networks – entry and competition
 - ▶ user beliefs (and welfare)
 - ▶ pricing (and interoperability)
 - ▶ measurements and merging w FINDEX DATA
 - ▶ external validity: compare experiment data samples w FINDEX DATA
 - ▶ improving national CPI and inflation estimates

Thank You!