

INNOVATIONS FOR POVERTY ACTION PEACE AND RECOVERY PROGRAM

COVID-19 Off-cycle Funding

As COVID-19 spreads around the world, IPA's <u>Peace & Recovery Program</u> is accepting off-cycle proposals, capped at \$50,000, for time-sensitive research projects and additions to research projects that study or support the COVID-19 response.

Expressions of Interest (EOIs) can be submitted <u>here</u>. Interested researchers are requested to consult this document before submitting an EOI. Please direct all questions to <u>peace@poverty-action.org</u>.

I. Priorities

We have two priorities for our COVID-19 off-cycle funding. To the degree possible, successful proposals will address both.

- 1. To produce information that can directly inform the humanitarian response to COVID-19
- 2. To produce generalizable knowledge that contributes academic literature on resilience, response, and recovery, in line with the goals and methods outlined in our <u>Guiding Principles and Funding Priorities</u>

This funding window could support projects that:

- Generate new data to directly inform the COVID-19 response of committed partners
- Evaluate interventions that are expected to improve COVID-19 response or recovery
- Add a module to an existing survey in order to track COVID-19 exposure or response
- Add a treatment arm that may support communities' resiliency in response to COVID-19
- Anonymize, curate, and share already-collected data quickly so that it can be used for decision-making by the humanitarian community
- Conduct a "downstream survey" that follows up on a sample that participated in an already-completed randomized trial in order to identify whether the intervention studied has an impact on the communities' response to COVID-19

Projects that can provide rapid results and/or actionable data to stakeholders involved in the response to COVID-19 will be prioritized.

II. Evaluation Criteria

Projects are assessed against five, equally weighted evaluation criteria:

Academic contribution

Does the study make a significant contribution toward advancing knowledge in the field? Does it answer new questions or introduce novel methods, measures, or interventions? Is there academic relevance? How does the study compare with the existing body of research? Does the research strategy provide a bridge between a practical experiment and underlying economic theories?



Policy relevance

Does the study address the priority questions outlined in the P&R Guiding Principles and Funding Priorities document? Will results from the intervention have generalizable implications? How, if at all, will the "lessons learned" have relevance beyond this test case? Is there demand from policymakers for more/better information to influence their decisions in this area? Is there potential for the implementing partner to scale up this intervention?

Technical design

Does the research design appropriately answer the questions outlined in the proposal? Are there threats that could compromise the validity of results? If so, does the proposal sufficiently address those threats? What changes could the researchers make to improve the design? For full study proposals, are there sufficiently detailed power calculations?

Project viability

Is the relationship with the implementing partner strong and likely to endure through the entire study? What is the credibility and policy influence of the implementing partner? Are there any other logistical or political obstacles that might threaten the completion of the study, for example, government authorization or Human Subjects review? For pilots, do researchers describe how piloting activities would inform a full-scale randomized evaluation? Does the research team have a track record of implementing successful projects similar to the one being proposed?

Value of research

Is the cost of the study commensurate with the value of expected contributions to science and policy? Does the study leverage funding from other sources?

Researcher Qualifications. Researchers must be affiliated with an academic institution, and either hold a PhD or be currently pursuing a PhD in a relevant discipline. They must demonstrate experience in field research and randomized evaluations and have sector expertise. Successful applications will come from researchers with a track record of published field experiments in top outlets.

Practitioners and institutions that implement interventions should partner with academics with strong track records implementing similar research studies in order to apply for funding. IPA's P&R Program is available to support matchmaking with researchers.

Successful applicants will have a research team or staff that has the necessary experience to study the emergency response. As a result, interdisciplinary teams are encouraged.

Research Management. Submissions proposing to conduct field-based data collection should specify the research implementing partner organization that will assist the research team with the management and implementation of the study. The organization must demonstrate experience implementing field experiments and have a presence in the country.

Projects taking place in countries where IPA has a country program (see list at the bottom of this document) are expected to be run through the local IPA Country Office, as these offices have the experience and long-term presence to ensure that projects meet excellent research quality standards, maintain strong partner relationships, and that the studies are well-integrated with the work of the P&R Program and IPA as a whole. Applicants should provide a clear motivation if they elect to work with another research management organization in a country where IPA is present. Please make sure that you reach out to the local IPA Country Office as early as possible in the project development process so they can assist



with research design, project planning, proposal development, and partner development. If you need assistance reaching out to IPA Country Offices, please email us at peace@poverty-action.org.

Funding. Funding will be for qualified research costs. The P&R Program generally cannot fund:

- Program or intervention implementation costs, except in rare circumstances
- Salary costs for researchers from institutions in developed countries (funding for the salaries and/or time of researchers from institutions in developing countries will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the review committee)
- Purely qualitative research that does not contribute to the development of impact evaluations
- Lab in the field or survey experiments, except in rare circumstances or within the context of a broader impact evaluation
- Research using historical datasets, except in the context of a broader impact evaluation
- Research in high-income countries

Further information about our funding criteria and application process can be found in our most recent <u>Application</u> <u>Instructions</u>. If you have any further questions, please reach out to <u>peace@poverty-action.org</u>.

III. Focus Countries and IPA Offices

Projects will be eligible for funding from the UK Department for International Development (DFID). A majority of DFID funding must be spent in DFID priority countries. We will be able to consider projects outside of this regional scope, provided they are in fragile states or fragile regions in moderately stable states, but these will have a lower probability of funding.

No funds can be spent in high-income countries (generally defined as the U.S., Canada, Western Europe, Japan, Korea, Singapore, Australia, New Zealand, Israel, and wealthy Middle Eastern countries).

Below is a list of DFID priority countries, countries with an IPA country office, and the overlap between the two. Projects taking place in countries where IPA has a country office are generally expected to be run through the local IPA Country Office. Applicants should reach out to these country offices early in the proposal stage.

Country	DFID Priority Country	IPA Country Office	IPA Contact Name	IPA Contact Email
Afghanistan	Yes	No	-	-
Bangladesh	Yes	Yes	Ashraf Haque	mahaque@poverty- action.org
Bolivia	No	Yes	Juan Manuel Hernández- Agramonte	jmhernandez@pover ty-action.org
Burkina Faso	Yes	Yes	Arthur Sagot-Duvauroux	asagotduvauroux@p overty-action.org
Colombia	No	Yes	Kyle Holloway	kholloway@poverty- action.org
Côte d' Ivoire	No	Yes	Arthur Sagot-Duvauroux	asagotduvauroux@p overty-action.org

1200	
	Ina
	ipu.
117/	POVERTY ACTION

Democratic Republic of Con	Yes g	No	-	-
Dominican Republic	No	Yes	Kyle Holloway	kholloway@poverty- action.org
Ethiopia	Yes	No	-	-
Ghana	Yes	Yes	Madeleen Husselman	mhusselman@pover ty-action.org
India	Yes	No	-	-
Iraq	Yes	No	-	-
Jordan	Yes	No	-	-
Kenya	Yes	Yes	Allison Stone	astone@poverty- action.org
Kyrgyzstan	Yes	No	-	-
Lebanon	Yes	No	-	-
Liberia	Yes	Yes	Arja Dayal	adayal@poverty- action.org
Malawi	Yes	Yes	Patrick Baxter	pbaxter@poverty- action.org
Mali	Yes	Yes	Arthur Sagot-Duvauroux	asagotduvauroux@p overty-action.org
Mexico	No	Yes	Julia Lendorfer	jlendorfer@poverty- action.org
Mozambique	Yes	No	-	-
Myanmar	Yes	Yes	Ricardo Morel	rmorel@poverty- action.org
Nepal	Yes	No	-	-
Niger	Yes	No	-	-

Nigeria	Yes	Yes	Chukwuemeka Eluemunor	celuemunor@poverty-action.org
Occupied Palestinian Territories	Yes	No	-	-
Pakistan	Yes	No	-	-
Paraguay	No	Yes	Juan Manuel Hernández- Agramonte	jmhernandez@pove ty-action.org
Peru	No	Yes	Juan Manuel Hernández- Agramonte	jmhernandez@pove ty-action.org
Philippines	No	Yes	Nassreena Sampaco- Baddiri	nbaddiri@poverty- action.org
Rwanda	Yes	Yes	Doug Kirke-Smith	dkirke- smith@poverty- action.org
Sierra Leone	Yes	Yes	Arja Dayal	adayal@poverty- action.org
Somalia	Yes	No	-	-
South Sudan	Yes	No	-	-
Sudan	Yes	No	-	-
Syria	Yes	No	-	-
Tajikistan	Yes	No	-	-
Tanzania	Yes	Yes	Zachary Isdahl	zisdahl@poverty- action.org
Uganda	Yes	Yes	Carin Mirowitz	cmirowitz@poverty-

Suleiman Asman,

No

Yes

Yemen

Zambia

Yes

Yes

action.org

action.org

sasman@poverty-



Zimbabwe	Yes	No	-	-

For work in Latin America outside of the countries listed above, you may also contact Juan Manuel Hernández-Agramonte at jmhernandez@poverty-action.org.

IV. About Innovations for Poverty Action

Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA) is a research and policy nonprofit that discovers and promotes effective solutions to global poverty problems. IPA brings together researchers and decision-makers to design, rigorously evaluate, and refine these solutions and their applications, ensuring that the evidence created is used to improve the lives of the world's poor. Since its founding in 2002, IPA has worked with over 575 leading academics to conduct over 650 evaluations in 51 countries. This research has informed hundreds of successful programs that now impact millions of individuals worldwide.